Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/529,990	NAKAMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alicia Chevalier	1772

1) Finder One Vener	(4/
2) J. Raul Tamayo	(4)

Date of Interview: 10 February 2004.

(4) Aliein Chaualine

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No

If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed:

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner called Applicant's representative to discuss changes to the abstract. Applicant's rep. agreed to the changes seen in the Examiner's amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM WHICHEVER IS LATER TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SLIBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. SIDE Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must slon this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

U.S. Patert and Yrademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev 04-03) Interview Summary

Paper No. 02092004